

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/598,535	HERDEWIJN ET AL.
Examiner	Art Unit	
Lawrence E. Crane	1623	
All Participants:	Status of Application: <i>supplemental amendment received.</i>	
(1) <u>Lawrence E. Crane</u> .	(3) _____.	
(2) <u>Sean Edman</u> .	(4) _____.	
Date of Interview: <u>09 July 2011</u>	Time: _____	

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

minor 112, second paragraph rejections

Claims discussed:

all remaining of record

Prior art documents discussed:

None

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Applicant was advised of minor errors in previous e-mailed proposed amendments. Applicant agreed to provide a directly filed supplemental amendment. Examiner indicated probable allowance based on previous submissions.

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Lawrence E. Crane/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1623

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)